UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/811,546	03/20/2001	Karl Kolter	51284	9100
	7590 06/18/200 CE DELUCA + QUIGO	EXAMINER		
1300 EYE STREET NW SUITE 1000 WEST TOWER			SILVERMAN, ERIC E	
WASHINGTON	= =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/811,546	KOLTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wit for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the th appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortenset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ch the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price (a) They raise new issues that would require further considers (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	d 41.33(a)).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s). 	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Noti- entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and v	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does 	·
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S13. Other:	
/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618	/Eric E Silverman/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amdment would cancel all previously pending claims and replace them with new claims that have not yet been considered. The proposed new claims contain limitations that were not yet considered. Nor do the proposed amdendments put the Applicant in better form for appeal. Because the proposed amendment introduces all new claims, the patentability issues surrounding the new claims have not yet been developed.